

Banbury Tennis Leagues – 2022 AGM Proposals

1. Nigel Eaton (Harbury)

“Proposal: To amend the way match fees are paid for Floodlit league matches

Last summer, post lockdown, most players did not want to pay match fees by cash but rather by bank transfer. With a mixture of some cash and mostly bank transfers, and often with delays between matches being played and fees paid, it was very difficult reconciling fees with matches. This winter we have moved to a system where we record the number of home Floodlit and Week-end matches played by each person, and will advise at the end of the season what fees are due. Unfortunately, under the current rules, this does not work for Floodlit league away matches where we have to ensure that players take cash to pay the host club. We would like to change this system so that all match fees are paid to each player’s own club. This would give a consistent method across all leagues, and allow the flexibility for clubs to collect fees from their own players at each match or at the end of the season. There may be issues where teams are playing their home matches at an alternative club. However, I hope that separate arrangements could be put in place in these instances. “

Als input for AOB

1. Do we offer food after matches?
2. Are we continuing to use Silver Deuces? (See proposal number 2)
3. I have been asked to remind clubs of the need to have a first aid kit available for all matches, and a form where details of any accidents/incidents should be recorded for insurance purposes.

2. Darrel Foulk (Kings Sutton)

“ I’d like to propose we scrap the silver deuce for the midweek summer league. It ruins the game! So I’d like to propose that at the AGM.”

3. Sue Jelfs (Banbury West End)

“At BWE we are currently reviewing match fees and although the review is not complete, it is evident that the floodlit fees of £3.50 per person, HGudo not cover the cost of the match. With the increase in energy, the Club believes a satisfactory fee would be £5 per person and would like this to be added to the agenda please.

There has been a similar proposal in the past which was turned down largely because those clubs without lights outnumbered those who did and unsurprisingly voted against an increase in fees! I think most clubs do have lights now so the “playing field” may be more even.”